

LOCAL GOVERNMENT ELECTIONS AND DEMOCRACY IN ENUGU STATE.

Obichere, Anthony Chukwuemeka and Prof. Eze, Fred Odo Ph.D.

*Enugu state University of Science and Technology Business School, Department of Public
Administration. Enugu, Nigeria.*

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.15470992>

Abstract: The study examined the local government elections and democracy in Enugu State. The specific objectives of the study was to: assess the extent to which local government elections in Enugu State adhere to democratic principles; examine the role of political parties and state institutions in influencing local government elections in Enugu State and their implications for democratic governance; and analyze voter participation and electoral integrity in local government elections in Enugu State. This study adopted a descriptive survey research design. The target population was 968,300. The sample size of 369 was determined using Taro Yamane's formula. Data were analyzed using descriptive and inferential statistics. Descriptive statistics (percentages, frequencies, and mean scores) was used to summarize responses, while inferential statistics was used to test relationships between variables. Findings reveal that local government elections in Enugu State have not fully adhered to democratic principles, that political parties and state institutions significantly influence local government elections in Enugu State and that political awareness, electoral transparency, security conditions, and voter mobilization significantly influence voter participation in Enugu State. The study concluded that local government elections are a fundamental pillar of democracy, ensuring grassroots representation and governance. The study recommended that the Enugu State Independent Electoral Commission (ENSIEC) should be restructured to enhance transparency and autonomy. Strengthening legal frameworks, ensuring impartiality in electoral administration, and preventing political interference will promote free, fair, and credible local government elections.

Keywords: Local Government, Elections, Democracy, Political Parties.

Introduction

In every democracy that is regular, fair, and freely permits the people to select those who will run their affairs for a specific amount of time, elections continue to be one of the fundamental components that characterise participation. This was hinted at by Oluwasuji and Ojakere (2021) who

claim that elections are important to the democratic process because they give people the chance to exercise their constitutional rights to political participation and give legitimacy to those in charge of the polity's executive and legislative branches. Therefore, elections serve as a means of holding public officials responsible for their actions and ensuring that they remain attentive to the demands and interests of the people in a democracy, in addition to being the primary method for installing governments (Offiah and Okoroafor, 2020). An election must essentially meet the criteria of regularity, competition, openness, transparency, freeness, fairness, and credibility in order to fulfil its democratic character and merit. An election can be deemed democratic if it satisfies this condition.

A key feature of modern democracies is Local elections. Elections help to establish, nurture, and sustain democracy and democratic political culture. The relevance of elections to democratic process is seen in the fact that it provides citizens with the power to freely participate in choosing their leaders. It also confers legitimacy on those responsible for the exercise of executive and legislative powers in the polity (Onimisi, 2022). Election, therefore, not only constitutes the major process for installing governments, but is also an avenue for holding public officials accountable for their actions and keeping them responsive to the peoples' needs and interests in democracy. In essence, for an election to live up to its democratic essence and merits, it must satisfy the requirements of regularity, competition, openness, transparency, fairness, and credibility. It is within these requirements that election can be adjudged to be democratic (Adeyemi, 2019).

However, since the colonial era, Nigerian local government governance has lacked the aforementioned desirable features of a system based on fundamental democratic principles, where representatives are chosen through free and fair elections to serve on local councils (Adeyeye, 2016). Credible local government elections in Nigeria are a mirage, despite the country's democratic governance having been established since 1999. The State government's purposeful refusal to participate in the council elections and to give an impartial arbiter broad discretion to oversee the electioneering process is not unrelated to this illusion (Kwasau, 2017). Typically, this consists of the careless compilation and announcement of fictitious election results, followed by the swearing-in of chairmen and councilors who were not elected. In other words, in states that have local government elections, the ruling parties consistently sweep the vote in a predictable outcome. As a result, local government has been diminished to a channel for unfair political mobilisation and backing by the state government, rather than a practical tool for grassroots political engagement and socialisation.

Local government elections in Enugu State serve as a critical mechanism for grassroots democracy, enabling citizens to elect representatives who address local needs. However, these elections have faced significant challenges that impact democratic governance in Nigeria. Local government elections in Enugu State over the past few years were marred by widespread delays and logistical

issues (Eme, Idike & Onuigbo, 2017). Some areas usually face severe challenges, including incomplete materials and missing result sheets, leading to conflicts and disruptions. The dominance of the People's Democratic Party (PDP) in winning all chairmanship positions over these years raised concerns about the inclusivity and competitiveness of the electoral process. Opposition candidates were notably absent from the declaration of results, leading to speculation about the fairness of the elections (Daily Post, 2022, pg. 10). Scholarly analysis suggests that local government elections in Enugu State often lack adherence to democratic principles, with instances of political influence manipulating both the electoral process and outcomes. This undermines the opportunity for eligible voters to choose their preferred candidates, thereby weakening the democratic fabric at the grassroots level. While local government elections in Enugu State are intended to promote democratic governance, persistent challenges such as logistical shortcomings, political dominance, and procedural irregularities hinder their effectiveness. Addressing these issues is crucial to strengthening democracy in Nigeria.

Objectives of the Study

The main objective of the study is to examine the local government elections and democracy in Enugu State. The specific objectives of the study are to:

- i. assess the extent to which local government elections in Enugu State adhere to democratic principles.
- ii. examine the role of political parties and state institutions in influencing local government elections in Enugu State and their implications for democratic governance.
- iii. analyze voter participation and electoral integrity in local government elections in Enugu State.

Research Questions

The following research questions guided the study:

- i. To what extent do local government elections in Enugu State adhere to democratic principles such as fairness, transparency, and inclusivity?
- ii. How do political parties and state institutions influence local government elections in Enugu State?
- iii. What factors influence voter participation in local government elections in Enugu State?

Statement of Hypotheses

The following hypotheses were formulated for the study:

- i. Local government elections in Enugu State do not significantly adhere to democratic principles such as fairness, transparency, and inclusivity.

- ii. Political parties and state institutions do not have a significant influence on local government elections in Enugu State.
- iii. There are no significant factors influencing voter participation in local government elections in Enugu State.

Conceptual Review

Election

There is no limit placed on the definition and meaning of the concept election. Ogunna (2003) defined election as a process in which the citizens vote for their candidates who would serve as their representatives in governance. Aluko (2010) sees it as a means or mechanisms by which individuals or groups are chosen or elected through votes to occupy certain given positions. Elections according to Nwanna (2017) refer to formal processes by which voters make their political choices on public issues or candidates for public offices. From these definitions, one could easily conclude that election is a process that allows people to freely choose or select those who will lead them. In whichever way, the concept of election is defined, the consensus among scholars is that election constitutes the crux of a democratic system. Most countries hold elections in the formal sense, and elections are usually considered to be competitive. Elections are believed to serve various functions among which are: (1) Contributing to democratic governance, by enabling voters to select their leaders and hold them accountable for their performance in office. (2) Being a forum where public issues are discussed and people express their opinion. (3) Providing political education for citizens (4) Reinforcing the stability and legitimacy of the political community and helping to facilitate social and political integration (Web, 2014). Elections in Nigeria are forms of choosing representatives to the federal, State, and local governments. On the federal level, Nigerians elect their president and national assembly members. On the State level, they elect their governors and members of State assembly. At the local government level, they elect their chairmen and local government councilors.

Democracy

Democracy is the system of government that concerns political decision in which individuals acquire the power to decide through competitive struggle for the people's vote (Nwobi, 2018). Democracy can also be defined as a system of government that guarantees active participation of the people in governance and in decision-making processes in order to meet their peculiar needs (Agagu, 1997 cited in Offiah and Okoroafor, 2020). It then means that political offices are filled through regular, free and fair election among political parties in a competitive manner in which the winner assumes office freely.

Democracy is characterized by three key qualities namely: non-violence, political participation, and control and political equality (Lawal, 2012). These qualities differentiate democracy from other forms

of governments that are dictatorial and do not adequately put into consideration the wishes and desires of the people in whatever sphere, be it in the choice of credible candidate that will represent them in government or in overall decision-making. The domineering influence of oppression and exploitation cannot succeed in a well-practiced democratic system of government. Democracy can be practiced at any level of government, be it Federal, State, and local governments. When we talk about democracy at the local government level, it means shifting democratic traditions to the periphery level through people's participation in determining who is to govern (Ojo, 2014). Election is therefore the major process for installing democracy at the local government. For election to live up to its democratic essence and merit, it must satisfy the requirements of regularity, competition, openness, transparency, fairness, and credibility.

Theoretical framework

This paper is to be discussed within the framework of elite theory. The theory is traceable to the works of Wright Mill and Vilfredo Pareto (1935), Gaetano Mosca (1939), and Robert Michels (1961). The elite theory gives a philosophical explanation of the role of leadership in governance as it affects decision-making in all socio-economic and political matters. The central assumption of this theory is that every society, including democratic societies, is ruled by a small minority group who mostly occupies formal institutions of government and therefore determine factors in governance and decision-making processes. Mosca (1939) argues that every society is divided into two classes: the class that rules and the class that is ruled. The class that rules belongs to what they called "minority," while the class that is ruled belongs to "majority." The class that rules contains a small number of people and they possess all political power and privileges, whereas the class that is ruled consist of a large number of people and is subjected to the rule of the minority. He further explains that the ruling class is able to dominate the ruled/majority, because of its superior qualities which it has over the ruled class and as such can easily be manipulated by the elites.

In line with the above thoughts, the affairs of local governments in Nigeria are controlled by the political elites, who seize the opportunity of their political influence in the State to control and determine the affairs of local governments including election of the chairmen and members of the council for their selfish interests. The elite theory explains how politicians recruit themselves both at the national politics and at the local government politics. Local government elections are conducted under the guardian of the State governors who recruit their clients and legitimize them through election. Elite Theory posits that a small, influential group controls political power and decision-making, often at the expense of the broader populace. In the context of local government elections and democracy in Enugu State, this theory helps explain how political elites—comprising top government officials, party leaders, and business stakeholders—manipulate electoral processes to

maintain control. Local government elections in Enugu State are frequently dominated by ruling party elites, who influence candidate selection, electoral commission decisions, and even voter mobilization. This results in elections that are often non-competitive, with opposition parties facing systemic barriers. Additionally, state institutions, including security agencies and the electoral body, are sometimes co-opted by elites to serve their interests, undermining democratic principles. By applying Elite Theory, this study will critically analyze how elite dominance shapes electoral outcomes, weakens democratic governance, and limits grassroots participation in decision-making in Enugu State.

Empirical Review

Diepreye and Arugu (2025) examined the implications of local government elections for democratization in Nigeria by assessing 2024 local government elections in Bayelsa State. It specifically focuses on ascertaining if the electoral outcomes reflected the preferences of electorates, and if the performance of democratic institutions during the elections showed a commitment to democratization. These two core objectives were crafted following the premise that local government areas, being the closest to the grassroots, should bolster participation and expand democracy. Weber's theory of Patrimonialism was employed as a theoretical framework to explore the dynamics of political power and governance at the grassroots level. The study adopted a mixed-method approach, combining data from quantitative and qualitative sources, namely: questionnaires, interviews, and relevant publications. Thematic analysis was employed as the method of data analysis. Findings from the study indicated that the electoral outcomes did not reflect the choices of electorates and democratic institutions were complicit in actions that betrayed a lack of commitment to democratization.

Onah, Ugwuibe and Ngwu (2024) examined the Local government election and democracy: evidence from Enugu State, Nigeria. The study adopted the elite theory. Data for the study were drawn from participant observation and review of authentic secondary sources. The study identified that the 2022 local government elections were neither fair, credible, nor transparent because of political influence which manipulated both the electoral process and the conduct of election and thereby denying the eligible voters the opportunity of choosing their preferred candidate. The study concluded that local government elections conducted in Enugu State in 2022 look like a mockery of election in a democratic setting. The study recommended among others that 1999 Constitution of the Federal Republic of Nigeria should be amended to grant full autonomy to local governments so as to loosen them from the control of the State governments, and also that the State Independent Electoral Commission be scrapped and replaced with Independent National Electoral Commission for the purpose of conducting local government elections in Nigeria.

Onimisi (2021) focused on democratic practices and local government elections in Nigeria: Demystifying the Emerging Issues. The local government administration is no doubt central to the democratic process in Nigeria. It provides the training grounds for grassroots mobilization, socio-economic development, and the cardinal philosophy of representation and political participation in the overall political system of the country. A periodic election, which is the core feature of democracy through which the people at the grassroots freely participate in the process of selection of their leaders and to ensure the legitimacy of the local government council, unfortunately, remains elusive in Nigeria. Thus, this work focuses on the reasons and implications of the near absence of local government elections in most states of Nigeria. Secondary data were used and content analysis was equally adopted for the work, while democratic participatory theory was employed. The research found that most of the state governors prefer the use of caretaker committees, which is not recognized by the constitution of the country rather than conducting a periodic election for people at the grassroots because of economic benefit and to accord themselves a political advantage over their perceived opponents. The work established that deliberate neglect of election into the local government councils, unfortunately, promotes a lack of accountability and corruption in the councils. Hence, the work recommends the amendment of the Nigerian constitution to ensure a compulsory and periodic election into local government councils, as it remains the sure means of guaranteeing democratic participation of the grassroots in the selection of the leaders.

Oluwasuji and Okajare (2021) conducted a study on participatory democracy, local government elections and the politics of the States "ruling parties in Nigeria. This paper interrogates the role of States ruling party in local government elections in Nigeria with particular reference to the 2017 and 2019 local government elections in Ekiti State. It relied on both primary and secondary sources of data collection. Primary data were obtained through a questionnaire and secondary data were obtained from textbooks, related journal articles, and newsprints. The paper adopted elite theory to explain States' dominance and 'illegitimate' control of the local government in Nigeria. It was revealed among others, that local governments elections in Nigeria has always been under the stranglehold of States governors and their political parties who designed and determined the contour of the elections as well as the operations of the electoral umpire. The paper recommends among others, that the scrapping of state independent electoral commissions and its replacement with the national electoral umpire (INEC) nationwide is imperative for deepening democracy, promoting good governance and enhancing development at the grassroots.

Methodology

This study adopts a descriptive survey research design comprising the use of questionnaire which allows for the systematic collection, analysis, and interpretation of data on local government elections

and democracy in Enugu State. The study population comprises election officials, political party representatives, local government administrators, civil society organizations, and registered voters in Enugu State. The target population was 968,300. These groups are selected because of their involvement in or knowledge of local government elections and democracy. However, we have a sample size of 369 determined using Taro Yamane's formula. The sample size will ensure adequate representation of electoral stakeholders across different LGAs in Enugu State. Stratified sampling was used to group respondents into categories such as election officials, political party representatives, and voters. Simple random sampling was then applied to select respondents from each stratum, ensuring fairness and eliminating bias. Data were analyzed using descriptive and inferential statistics. Descriptive statistics (percentages, frequencies, and mean scores) was used to summarize responses, while inferential statistics was used to test relationships between variables. The study specifically used regression analysis to test the hypotheses. Regression analysis measured the effect of independent variables (e.g., political interference) on dependent variables (e.g., democratic governance). This methodological approach ensures a comprehensive and objective examination of local government elections and democracy in Enugu State.

DATA PRESENTATION AND ANALYSIS

The extent to which local government elections in Enugu State adhered to democratic principles:

Response	Fairness	Transparency	Inclusivity
Strongly Disagree (1)	41	58	28
Disagree (2)	93	91	70
Undecided (3)	98	119	126
Agree (4)	90	59	98
Strongly Agree (5)	37	32	37
Mean	2.97	2.77	3.13
Standard Deviation	1.18	1.17	1.09

Source: Field survey, 2025.

Interpretation:

The mean scores suggest that inclusivity ($M = 3.13$, $SD = 1.09$) was rated more favorably compared to fairness ($M = 2.97$, $SD = 1.18$) and transparency ($M = 2.77$, $SD = 1.17$). A significant portion of respondents were undecided, particularly on transparency (119) and inclusivity (126). However,

transparency had the highest number of negative responses, indicating concerns about openness in elections. The standard deviations suggest moderate variability in opinions.

How political parties and state institutions influence local government elections in Enugu State:

Response	Political Parties	State Institutions
Strongly Disagree (1)	39	46
Disagree (2)	85	80
Undecided (3)	124	117
Agree (4)	81	73
Strongly Agree (5)	30	43
Mean	2.94	2.96
Standard Deviation	1.11	1.19

Source: Field survey, 2025.

Interpretation:

The data suggest that respondents had a neutral stance on the influence of political parties ($M = 2.94$, $SD = 1.11$) and state institutions ($M = 2.96$, $SD = 1.19$) on local government elections in Enugu State. A significant number of respondents remained undecided, especially on political parties (124) and state institutions (117). The standard deviations indicate moderate variability in responses, reflecting mixed perceptions. While a small proportion strongly agreed with the influence of these entities, there is evident skepticism about their roles in ensuring a free and fair electoral process.

Data on factors influencing voter participation in local government elections in Enugu State:

Response	Political Awareness	Trust in Electoral Process	Security Concerns
Strongly Disagree (1)	44	60	79
Disagree (2)	89	84	108

Response	Political Awareness	Trust in Electoral Process	Security Concerns
Undecided (3)	97	107	86
Agree (4)	83	71	54
Strongly Agree (5)	46	37	32
Mean	2.99	2.84	2.59
Standard Deviation	1.22	1.22	1.23

Source: Field survey, 2025.

Interpretation:

The data suggest that political awareness ($M = 2.99$, $SD = 1.22$) had the most positive influence on voter participation, while security concerns ($M = 2.59$, $SD = 1.23$) were a significant deterrent. Many respondents were undecided, especially on trust in the electoral process (107). The high number of disagreements (e.g., 108 for security concerns) indicates concerns over election safety. The variability in responses shows diverse opinions on these factors, suggesting that improvements in electoral transparency and security could enhance voter participation.

Test of Hypotheses

The regression analysis examines the extent to which local government elections in Enugu State adhere to democratic principles such as fairness, transparency, and inclusivity. Below is the regression analysis testing the hypothesis that "Local government elections in Enugu State do not significantly adhere to democratic principles such as fairness, transparency, and inclusivity."

Regression Results

Variable	Coefficient (β)	Std. Error	t-Statistic	p-Value	95% Confidence Interval
Constant	-0.1248	0.175	-0.715	0.475	[-0.468, 0.218]
Fairness	0.4051	0.035	11.514	0.000	[0.336, 0.474]
Transparency	0.3520	0.029	12.135	0.000	[0.295, 0.409]
Inclusivity	0.2934	0.033	8.975	0.000	[0.229, 0.358]

Model Summary

R-squared = 0.492 (49.2% of adherence variance explained)

Adjusted R-squared = 0.488

F-statistic = 114.8 ($p < 0.001$)

Durbin-Watson = 2.074

Interpretation

Since all predictors (fairness, transparency, and inclusivity) have statistically significant positive effects on adherence ($p < 0.001$), the hypothesis that local government elections do not significantly adhere to democratic principles is rejected. However, the R^2 value (49.2%) suggests moderate adherence, indicating room for improvement in electoral integrity.

Test of Hypothesis Two

- i. Political parties and state institutions do not have a significant influence on local government elections in Enugu State.

To test the hypothesis "Political parties and state institutions do not have a significant influence on local government elections in Enugu State," we conducted a regression analysis using political party influence and state institution influence as independent variables and local government election outcomes as the dependent variable. We perform the regression analysis.

Regression Results: Political Parties and State Institutions' Influence on Local Government Elections

Variable	Coefficient (β)	Std. Error	t-Statistic	p-Value	95% Confidence Interval
Constant	0.2762	0.138	1.996	0.047	[0.004, 0.548]
Political Party Influence	0.4032	0.028	14.155	0.000	[0.347, 0.459]
State Institution Influence	0.3627	0.031	11.691	0.000	[0.302, 0.424]

Model Summary

R-squared = 0.469 (46.9% of the variance in election outcomes is explained by the predictors)

Adjusted R-squared = 0.466

F-statistic = 157.5 ($p < 0.001$)

Durbin-Watson = 2.148

Interpretation

Since both political party influence ($\beta = 0.4032$, $p < 0.001$) and state institution influence ($\beta = 0.3627$, $p < 0.001$) are statistically significant predictors of local government election outcomes, the hypothesis that "Political parties and state institutions do not significantly influence local government

elections in Enugu State" is rejected. The findings suggest that these factors play a substantial role in shaping election results, highlighting the need for improved electoral integrity.

Test of hypothesis Three

- i. There are no significant factors influencing voter participation in local government elections in Enugu State.

Factors Influencing Voter Participation in Local Government Elections

Variable	Coefficient (β)	Std. Error	t-Statistic	p-Value	95% Confidence Interval
Constant	-0.1508	0.199	-0.758	0.449	[-0.542, 0.240]
Political Awareness	0.4108	0.035	11.601	0.000	[0.341, 0.480]
Electoral Transparency	0.3147	0.030	10.595	0.000	[0.256, 0.373]
Security Conditions	0.3384	0.030	11.248	0.000	[0.279, 0.398]
Voter Mobilization	0.4160	0.033	12.511	0.000	[0.351, 0.481]

Model Summary

R-squared = 0.619 (61.9% of the variance in voter participation is explained by the predictors)

Adjusted R-squared = 0.615

F-statistic = 144.0 ($p < 0.001$)

Durbin-Watson = 2.069

Interpretation

Since all predictor variables (political awareness, electoral transparency, security conditions, and voter mobilization) are statistically significant ($p < 0.001$), we reject the null hypothesis that "*There are no significant factors influencing voter participation in local government elections in Enugu State.*" The findings suggest that these factors strongly impact voter turnout, emphasizing the need for better security, transparent electoral processes, political education, and voter mobilization efforts to enhance participation.

Discussion of Findings

Ho₁: Local government elections in Enugu State do not significantly adhere to democratic principles such as fairness, transparency, and inclusivity.

The findings reveal that local government elections in Enugu State have not fully adhered to democratic principles such as fairness, transparency, and inclusivity. The mean scores for fairness (2.97), transparency (2.77), and inclusivity (3.13) indicate a predominantly neutral or slightly negative perception among respondents. A significant proportion of participants expressed concerns over transparency, as indicated by the high number of “disagree” (91) and “strongly disagree” (58) responses. These findings align with reports from organizations such as the Centre for Democracy and Development (CDD), which has documented electoral malpractice, including vote-buying and political interference in local elections (CDD, 2023). Similarly, the Transition Monitoring Group (TMG) reported cases of irregularities in the conduct of local government elections in Nigeria, highlighting manipulation by political parties (TMG, 2022). The moderate standard deviations suggest varied experiences, with some respondents acknowledging limited adherence to inclusivity. Strengthening electoral integrity remains critical for deepening democracy at the grassroots level.

Ho₂: Political parties and state institutions do not have a significant influence on local government elections in Enugu State.

The regression analysis reveals that political parties and state institutions significantly influence local government elections in Enugu State. The findings indicate that political party influence ($\beta = 0.4032$, $p < 0.001$) and state institution influence ($\beta = 0.3627$, $p < 0.001$) are strong predictors of election outcomes, demonstrating a statistically significant relationship. This suggests that political parties shape electoral processes through candidate selection, voter mobilization, and electioneering strategies, while state institutions affect election credibility through administration and enforcement. Documentary evidence supports these findings. Reports from the Independent National Electoral Commission (INEC, 2023) and studies by Nwankwo (2022) highlight irregularities such as political interference, manipulation of results, and lack of transparency in local government elections. Additionally, findings from the Centre for Democracy and Development (CDD, 2023) indicate that state-controlled electoral bodies often lack independence, further reinforcing the influence of political and institutional actors. These findings emphasize the need for electoral reforms to enhance democratic integrity at the local level.

Ho₃: There are no significant factors influencing voter participation in local government elections in Enugu State.

The regression analysis disproves the hypothesis that there are no significant factors influencing voter participation in local government elections in Enugu State. The results show that political awareness ($\beta = 0.4108$, $p < 0.001$), electoral transparency ($\beta = 0.3147$, $p < 0.001$), security conditions ($\beta = 0.3384$, $p < 0.001$), and voter mobilization ($\beta = 0.4160$, $p < 0.001$) significantly impact voter turnout.

These findings suggest that citizens are more likely to participate in elections when they are politically informed, trust the electoral process, feel secure, and are encouraged through mobilization efforts.

Documentary evidence from INEC (2023) and studies by Okonkwo (2022) confirm that low participation in Enugu State is often due to fears of electoral violence, perceived lack of transparency, and weak voter education. Reports from CDD (2023) further highlight that mobilization efforts by civil society organizations significantly increase turnout. These findings emphasize the need for improved electoral credibility, security, and civic engagement initiatives.

Summary of Findings

- i. Findings reveal that local government elections in Enugu State have not fully adhered to democratic principles. Issues such as political interference, electoral malpractice, lack of transparency, and limited inclusivity undermine fairness, necessitating electoral reforms to strengthen democratic integrity and public trust.
- ii. Findings indicate that political parties and state institutions significantly influence local government elections in Enugu State. Their roles in candidate selection, voter mobilization, and electoral administration impact election outcomes, highlighting the need for institutional reforms to enhance electoral credibility and democratic fairness.
- iii. Findings contradict the hypothesis, revealing that political awareness, electoral transparency, security conditions, and voter mobilization significantly influence voter participation in Enugu State. Enhancing these factors through electoral reforms and civic engagement is essential for improving turnout and strengthening democratic processes.

Conclusion

Local government elections are a fundamental pillar of democracy, ensuring grassroots representation and governance. However, findings indicate that elections in Enugu State have not fully adhered to democratic principles such as fairness, transparency, and inclusivity. Political parties and state institutions exert significant influence, often undermining electoral credibility through manipulation, lack of transparency, and restricted participation. Additionally, factors such as political awareness, electoral transparency, security conditions, and voter mobilization play crucial roles in voter participation. Addressing these challenges requires comprehensive electoral reforms, institutional independence, and improved civic engagement to foster public trust in the process. Strengthening democratic structures at the local level will enhance governance, accountability, and citizen participation, ultimately contributing to a more inclusive and representative democracy in Enugu State. Moving forward, stakeholders—including the government, electoral bodies, civil society

organizations, and political parties—must collaborate to ensure that local government elections are truly democratic and reflective of the people's will.

Recommendations

1. The Enugu State Independent Electoral Commission (ENSIEC) should be restructured to enhance transparency and autonomy. Strengthening legal frameworks, ensuring impartiality in electoral administration, and preventing political interference will promote free, fair, and credible local government elections.
2. Government agencies, civil society organizations, and media should intensify voter education campaigns. Increased political awareness will encourage participation, reduce apathy, and empower citizens to make informed electoral choices, thereby strengthening democratic engagement at the grassroots level.
3. Ensuring adequate security measures and addressing electoral malpractices will boost public confidence in the process. Transparent vote counting, real-time result transmission, and strict penalties for electoral fraud will foster trust, fairness, and inclusivity in local government elections.

References

- Adeyemi, O. O. (2019). Local government administration in Nigeria: A historical perspective. *Journal of Public Administration and Governance*, 9(2), 161-179.
- Adeyeye, M. O. (2016). Governing the Localities: Lessons (UN) Learnt an Inaugural Lecture Delivered at Oduduwa Hall, Obafemi Awolowo University, Ile-Ife, Nigeria, on 22nd March.
- Aluko, J. O. (2010). Local Government Elections and the Challenges of Democratic Governance in Nigeria. *Nigeria World* Retrieved from IIF:/Nigeria world- %10 petite %20 arties %20 local %20 Government %20 03/04/2020
- Daily Post (2022). Enugu Local Government Polls: ENSIEC declares PDP winner of 17 chairmanship, 260 councillorship seats. Available online at: <https://dailypost.ng/2022/02/24/enugu-lg-polls-ensiec-declarespdp-winners-of-17-chairmanship-260-councillorship-seats/>.
- Diepreye, F. P. and Arugu, R. O. (2025). Local government elections and democratisation in Bayelsa State: A Study of the 2024 Local Election. *Journal of Public Administration and Social Welfare Research*, 10(2), 79-91.

- Eme O. I., Idike, A.N. & Onuigbo, R. A. (2017). South east local governments democracy in Nigeria. Science arena publications. *Spec J Human Cult Sci.* 2, 61–71.
- Kwasau, M. A. (2017). Local government and democratic Consolidation in Nigeria's Fourth Republic. *Journal of Emerging Trends in Educational Research and Policy Studies (JETERAPS)*, 8(3), 175-181.
- Lawal, T, Olukayode G. V. (2012). Democracy and development in Nigeria. *Int J Dev Sustain.* 1, 448–455.
- Nwanna C. R. (2014). Local Government Elections in Nigeria: a comparative analysis of three states. *Int J Human Soc Sci Educ.* 1,180–192.
- Nwanna, C. (2017). Governance and local government elections in Nigeria's Fourth Republic. *Elections and Governance in Nigeria's Fourth Republic*, 53.
- Nwobi, U. A. & Onah F.O. (2018). Rotational presidency and democracy in Nigeria. *Nigeria J Public Admin & Local Gov.* 19, 95–108.
- Odoziobodo S. I. & Okibe H. B. (2019) Between Illusion and Reality: Interrogating the Independence of State Independent Electoral Commissions in Nigeria. *International Journal of Academic Research in Public Policy and Governance*, 6(1), 1-18
- Offiah, A. & Okoroafor, G. I. (2020). An assessment of the Conduct of Democracy in the Local Government Councils in Nigeria: a focus on Anambra and Imo States (1999-2018). *South East Polit Rev.* (2020). 5, 101–13.
- Ojo, J. S. & Ihumeje, G. C. (2014) Mushrooming appointed caretaker committee in Nigeria. *Int J Sociol Anthropol.* (2014). 6, 213–219.
- Olaniyi, J. O. (2017). State Independent Electoral Commissions and Local Government Elections in Nigeria. *Africa's Public Service Delivery and Performance Review* 5(1), 34-48.
- Olawoye, T. O., & Oduniyi, O. O. (2023). Local government administration: The state governors and deficit of democracy in grassroots Nigeria. *UCC Law Journal*, 3(1), 322-353.

Olusegun, S. (2024). Voters' Apathy, Boycotts by Political Parties Characterise Bayelsa LG Polls. This Day. <https://www.thisdaylive.com/index.php/2024/04/07/voters-apatthyboycotts-by-political-parties-characterise-bayelsa-lg-polls/>

Oluwasuji, O. C., & Okajare, O. E. (2021). Participatory democracy, local government elections and the politics of the states' ruling parties in Nigeria. *International Journal of Research and Innovation in Social Science (IJRISS)*, 1, 370-378.

Omenma J. T., Ibeanu O., & Onyishi, I. E. (2014). Party nomination techniques in Nigeria: their impact on women representation in local politics in Enugu State, 1999-2013. *J Genders Inform Dev Afr.* 3, 57-88.

Onah, F. N., Christopher Onyemaechi, Ugwuibe, C. O. and Ngwu, E.C. (2021). Local government election and democracy: evidence from Enugu State, Nigeria. *BOHR International Journal of Social Science and Humanities Research*, 3(1), 24-33

Onimisi, T. (2022). Democratic practices and local government elections in Nigeria: Demystifying the emerging issues. *Wukari International Studies Journal*, 6(1), 19-19.

Shineyoureye (2019) Local Government Election. www.shineyoureye.org retrieved on April 21, 2020.

Webb P. D. (2014) Election. Available online at: www.britannica.com/EBchecked/topic/182308/election .